PLANNING Sub-COMMITTEE Thursday 23th March 2017

- ADDENDUM TO AGENDA -

Item 6.1 15/01811/P 81 Purley Downs Road

- 1. A further letter of objection has been received which raised the following issues:
 - a. That the proposal would result in a reduction in the depth of the garden to the nursery, resulting in additional noise and disturbance.
 - b. That the proposal would have a greater than anticipated impact on protected trees in the rear garden of 83 Purley Downs Road.
- As stated in the report, a previous application resolved to remove the site of the proposed development out of the curtilage of the nursery, in anticipation of the further development of the site. There are restrictions in place on how the garden of the nursery operates which officers consider is satisfactory to ensure residential amenity.
- 3. Condition 5 of the recommended conditions relates to tree protection details to be agreed. Officers consider this condition will ensure adequate protection of the protected trees in the neighbouring garden. Due to the changes in level within the application site and between the application site and the neighbouring property, the proposal is not considered likely to have a significant impact on the majority of protected trees in the neighbouring property. Through the use of the recommended condition, the detailed construction of a retaining wall and alterations to ground level adjacent to the boundary with 83 Purley Downs Road can be controlled.

Item 6.2 16/05779/HSE 15 Selcroft Road, Purley CR8 1AG

- 1. Two further letters of objection have been received. In addition to matters raised previously, these raise the following issues:
 - a. Amended plans have been received but site notices were not erected to notify local residents
- 2. In accordance with Council notification protocols, it was not considered necessary to advertise the amendments with new site notices as the minor changes resulted in a reduction in size of the scheme which would have no additional material impact on the streetscene or adjoining occupiers.

For clarity, paragraph 4 of the report should refer to the neighbouring property no.13, not no.14 as is stated.